

वागर्थः

(An International Journal of Sanskrit Research)

Kāraka analysis of complicated Sanskrit sentences

Sudhir K Mishra Pune sudhirkumarmishra@gmail.com

Abstract: The present paper is case studies of kāraka analysis of problematic kāraka situations of the following types -

- clipped sentences like 'grham' (in 'bhavān kutra gacchati ? grham)
- bigger noun phrases like sequences of adj1 adj2... adjn N with same vibhaktis (as in sundaraḥ susīlaḥ nipuṇaḥ ca bālakaḥ) → identifying the kāraka in bālakaḥ only and not in other adjectives is a problem because we do not store nouns in the lexicon
- identifying lexical semantics and kārakas based on them (for example, the rule 'gati buddhipratyayavasānārthaśabdakarmākarmakāņāmaņikartā sa ņau' [P 1.4.52] says that a particular kāraka will apply in the sense of these words)
- *identification of abhihita and anabhihita (expressed and un-expressed)*
- *identification of the locus of the verb*
- identification of the sense of tādarthya

kārakas play an important role in formation and analysis of sentences. Without complete analysis of kāraka, a sentence can not be analyzed. Analysis of Sanskrit sentences at both syntactic and semantic levels together through a computational model is challenging. By evolving a mechanism for kāraka interpretation of complicated Sanskrit sentences, the authors here present a case for using such systems for Sanskrit to Indian languages Machine Translation (MT). The overall aim is to test the algorithm on potentially problematic sentences to see if there is a need to further tuning the algorithm. This system is based on Pāṇini and Kātyāyana kāraka formulations

Key Words: Kārakas, Astādhyāyī.

I. INTRODUCTION

Sanskrit is a highly inflected and relatively free word order language. Therefore, identifying the constituents from the place cues (as in western languages) is not possible. In Sanskrit, the case endings of *padas* assign syntactic-semantic relations to the constituents of sentence with verb. In this work, the vibhakti endings and associated kāraka are analyzed for sentence comprehension. This approach is comparable with the broad class of vibhakti and kāraka based grammars such as Pānini and later grammarians. For kāraka analysis, first priority is identification of verb in sentence. Pānini discusses kāraka [P 1.4.23- P 1.4.54] and vibhakti [P 2.3.1-P 2.3.73.) in different chapters of Astādhyāyī. Kāraka is the underlying sense of the vibhaktis and vibhaktis are the markers of kāraka. Kārakas are not compulsory for each pada in a sentence, but vibhaktis are. So, the basic problem is correct identification of kāraka and vibhakti in a sentence. The task becomes slightly

easier, if the verb is correctly identified and analyzed because many $k\bar{a}raka$ rules in Pāṇini assume the verb in the center. Secondly, except *kartṛ kāraka* all other *kārakas* are expressed only if they are un-expressed (*unabhihita*) by any other means (like *tin*, *krt*, *taddhita*, *samāsa* or *nipāta*). If they are expressed (*abhihita*), they show as *kartṛ kāraka*. The present work assumes *sandhi* and *samāsa* free input text. The work on *kṛt*, *taddhita*, *samāsa* identification is in initial stage at this point.

 $K\bar{a}raka$ processing is done at three levels – structural, syntax and semantic. On the surface level, the identification of verb, *subanta*, *upasarga* and *avyaya* etc. will be done first, and then the verb and $k\bar{a}raka$ semantics is analyzed.

KAS MODULES

П

The present research is actually being implemented as an online java servlet engine with relational database as backend. The system called $K\bar{a}raka$ Analyzer for Sanskrit (KAS) has the following modules –

- the KAS engine.
- *tinanta* identification
- subanta identification
- *kāraka* identification and analysis

Pānini kāraka formulations are very complex and involve balanced interplay of morphological information, verb semantics, and sentence level syntax and semantics. The input text (according to the assumed specifications) will be checked for consistency by the KAS engine. If the consistency check succeeds, the *tinanta* identification is done with the help of a database of verb forms of commonly found verbs. This module will tag the verb for basic TAM, argument structure, upasargas, nāma-dhatu, derived forms, vācva etc. [Ref 11]. The subanta module will identify the case markers with the help of the vibhakti Knowledge Base (KBv). The KBv stores the primitive vibhakti morphemes and its allomorphs, and also possible exceptions. To make sure that KAS does not return wrong results for upapada vibhaktis like paritah krsnam (around krsna) or other avyaya-subanta combination specially described by Pānini, this module will mark the constituent as suspect for special exception processing according to kāraka formulations. The kāraka module (KBk - a comprehensive database of kāraka formulations of Pānini, Patañjali and Kātyāyana) will search for kāraka rules for each vibhakti marked constituent and generate analysis for each kāraka vibhakti situation in the sentence.

In case the KBv returns ambiguous results, the expectancy analysis of the verbs stored in the Verb Knowledge Base (KBV) as *sakarmaka*, *akarmaka*, *dvikarmaka*, *parasmai*, *ātmane*, *ubhaya*, *kartṛ-vācya*, *karma-vācya*, *bhāva-vācya* etc. will come in to disambiguate. The details for some of the components and problematic *kāraka* situations are as follows –

A. Tinanta identification

Sanskrit verb forms are very complex. They carry tense, aspect, person, number information all in the inflection forms. Besides, they can also contain derivations containing semantic informations like causation, desire, repitition, negation etc. Therefore, it becomes very difficult to split out the verb and separate the verb root and complex information units encoded in it. Sanskrit has about 2000 verb roots classified in 10 morphological and semantic classes called ganas, and can also be further sub-classified as normal forms (without any of the 12 derivational affixes - 11 listed by Pānini [P 3.1.32], 1 more 'kvip' added by Kātyāyana), and the derived forms with nijanta (causative - nic), sannata (expressing desire - san, kyac, kāmyac, kvip, kyan, kyas,nin, yak, āy and iyan), yananta (duplicated - yan and yanlunant). Then these can have *ātmane* and *parasmai* forms in 10 lakāras and 3 x 3 person and number combinations. Then these can also be potentially prefixed with 22 prefixes. Finally there could be in-numerable nāmadhātus (nominalized verbs).

We have stored all the verb roots from Pāṇini's $dh\bar{a}tu-p\bar{a}tha$ (DP) with semantic class and other syntactic information. The backend structure is as follows –

					s/	ak./	
dhātu					a/	sa./	
id	dhātu	artha	gaṇa	pada	v	dv	
1	bhū	sattāyām	bhvādiḥ	р	s	ak	
2	edha	vṛddhou	bhvādiḥ	р	s	ak	
3	spardha	sangharse	bhvādiḥ	р	s	sa	
		pratisthālipsayo-		р	~		
4	gādhŗ	rgranthe	bhvādiḥ		s	sa	
5	bādhŗ	vilodane	bhvādiḥ	р	s	sa	
		yācñopatāpaiśva-		р	a		
6	nāthŗ	ryāśīṣṣu	bhvādiḥ		s	dv	
Table 1							

Since most of the DP $dh\bar{a}tus$ are not found in literature, we have stored the forms for only 550 commonly occurring Sanskrit verb roots. The storage structure snippet in the backend is as follows –

dhātu					
_id	1.1.1	1.1.2	1.1.3	1.2.1	1.2.2
01	bhavati	bhavtaḥ	bhavanti	bhavasi	bhavataḥ
32	yauti	yutaḥ	yuvanti	yauși	yuthaḥ
39	rauti	rutaḥ	ravanti	rauși	ruthḥ
74	nauti	nautaḥ	naunti	nautaasi	nautasthaḥ
59	kṣṇauti	kṣṇautaḥ	kṣṇonti	kṣṇauṣi	kṣṇauthaḥ
76	snauti	snautaḥ	snauvanti	snauși	snauthah
97	uṇauti	uņutaḥ	urnuvanti	urṇaushi	urṇuthaḥ

Table 2

a. tinanta based kāraka complications

In this section, we are presenting some problems with respect to the *tinanta* identification –

• In-complete sentences

sentences like *grham* which are answers to a question like *bhavāna kutra gacchati*? or any other similar half or incomplete sentences will create problem in $K\bar{a}raka$ analysis because the system will mark them as having no $k\bar{a}raka$ at all (as there is no verb). But such sentences do have verbs in the underlying representation. Therefore, the problem before us is to first complete these sentences with a suitable verb according to the context and then start $k\bar{a}raka$ analysis. Such single-word sentences could be verbs as well or ambiguous entities as in $\bar{a}padam kah$ *gacchati*? $r\bar{a}mah$. In this instance, $r\bar{a}mah$ may be a noun or a verb form of $\sqrt{r\bar{a}}$. The KAS presently is not considering such sentences.

Dvikarmaka (di-transitive) verbs in certain senses In such cases (as hinted in P 1.4.51 and later explained by *vrttikāras*) the *dvikarmaka* verbs in 16 semantic categories mark *kārakas* optionally. For instance, in the sentences 'gām payaḥ dogdhi' and 'go payaḥ dogdhi', the kārakas are expressed differently in the same meaning. The optional use of kāraka in such cases depends on user vivakṣā.

b. Upasarga based kāraka complication

[P 1.4.58] defines a class of 22 nipātas (pra, prā, apa, sam, anu, ava, nis, nir, dus, dur, vi, ān, ni, adhi, api, ati, su, ut, abhi, prati, pari and upa) listed in prādigaņa. They are termed upasarga if they are used with a verb and play an important role in the identification of kāraka. [P 1.4.46] says if 'adhi' upasarga is used before $\sqrt{sīn}$, $\sqrt{sth\bar{a}}$ and \sqrt{as} , then the locus of verb gets karma samjñā, as in adhisete adhitisthati adhyāste vā vaikuntham harih Some of these [P1.4.83 -P1.4.97] are discussed separately as karmapravacaniya with different vibhakti assignment rules. For instance, when 'upa' implies inferiority it is termed karma, else if used in the sense of superiority then seventh vibhakti is used [P 1.4.87]. All such cases are stored separately as shown in the following table-

upasarga/	dhātu	condition	kāraka/vibhakti
karmapravacaniy			
a			
adhi	śī'n,	u + v =	karman
	sthā,	locus of	
	ās	verb	
upa, anu, adhi, āṅ	vas	u + v =	karman
		locus of	
		verb	
pari, apa, āṅ			fifth vibhakti
parā	ji	unbearable	fifth vibhakti
		thing	
upa		inferiority	second vibhakti
upa		superiority	seventh
			vibhakti

Table 3

c. Vācya based kāraka complication

In Sanskrit there are three voices and in every voice sentence structure is different, for instance-

kartr vācya \rightarrow subject in prathamā vibhakti + object in
dvitiyā vibhaktidvitiyā vibhakti+ verb according to subjectkarma vācya \rightarrow subject in trtīyā vibhakti + object in
prathamā vibhakti+ verb according to objectbhāva vācya \rightarrow subject in trtīyā vibhakti + no object

+ verb in third per, singular

this structure can help in solving the problem of ambiguity on surface level. The required information for this is stored in table 2 as shown above.

d. Semantics based kāraka complication

• the problem with \sqrt{sprh}

in the case of \sqrt{sprh} , if the most desired object is marked karma by [P1.4.49], however, the other less desired objects are marked *sampradāna* [P1.4.36]. The KAS will provide both analyses. Such specific information is separately stored in the verb database.

• the problem with $\sqrt{n\bar{a}thr}$

In the use of $\sqrt{n\bar{a}thr}$, if the object of desire can optionally be marked by genitive marker [P 2.3.55] as

Upa- sarg	Karmapra- vacaniya	dhātu	artha	condition	kāraka	vibhakti	rule
a							
		nāthŗ	āśīḥ			șașțhī	2.3.55
		gati	jānā	subject of ņijanta	karman		1.4.52
upa		vas	not eating	locus of verb	adhikaraṇa		vārtika
	anu		tṛtīyā			dvitiyā	1.4.85

Table 4

B. Subanta identification

Correct *vibhakti* identification in nominal forms is a must for $k\bar{a}raka$ analysis. We are storing all possible allomorphs of the 21 (7x3) nominal *vibhaktis* in Sanskrit [P 4.1.2] as shown in the following table (for 'a' ending masculine nouns) -

vibhakti	anta	liń	1.1	1.2	1.3	
prathamā	a	Р	aḥ	au	āḥ	
prathamā	ā	Р	āḥ	au	āḥ	
prathamā	i	Р	iḥ	ī	ayaḥ	
prathamā	ī	Р	īḥ	yau	yaḥ	
prathamā	u	Р	uḥ	ū	avaḥ	
prathamā	ū	Р	ūḥ	uvo	uvaḥ	
prathamā	ī	Р	ā	ārau/arau	āraḥ/araḥ	
table 5						

There may be cases of ambiguity in some *vibhaktis* like *prathamā*, *dvitīyā* duals, *tṛtīyā*, *caturthī*, *pañcamī* plurals and also in *sasthī*, *saptamī* duals.

a. Avyaya based kāraka complication

In case of indeclinable being used in conjunction with verbs, different $k\bar{a}rakas$ are used as in gurum namaskaroti (karma), but if it not used otherwise, then the default $k\bar{a}raka$ will be used as in gurave namah (sampradāna). This is discussed as upa-pada-vibhakti in Pāṇini. All such cases are stored separately as shown in the following table-

avyaya	kāraka/vibhakti	exception	rule
namaḥ	caturthī	dvitiyā	2.3.16
nānā	dvitiyā, tṛtīyā,		2.3.32
	pañcamī		
ubhayath	dvitiyā		vārtika
abhitah	dvitiyā		vārtika
vinā	dvitiyā, trtīyā,		2.3.32
	pañcamī		

Table 6

C. Kāraka based complications

There are certain cases where the desire of the agent determines the $k\bar{a}raka$. For example in case of more than one objects in a sentence, the most desired is *karma* according to

Pāṇini [P 1.4.50], however the other less desired are also termed *karma*. So, in sentences with such situations, the KAS should be able to differentiate between such *karmas*. For instance, in the sentence 'grāmam gacchan trṇam sprśati' ('while going to village (he) touches straw') agent's most desired goal is to go to village, and un-desired object is accidentally touching the straw (which he happens to trample on). Here both are marked object for different reasons. So, the KAS should be able to provide this analysis.

a. Mapping based Kāraka complication

If any noun has n number of adjectives then the correct identification of the head noun becomes very challenging in Sanskrit as all of them will have the same *vibhaktis*. Since identifying the head noun may be important for $k\bar{a}raka$ analysis in cases of semantics bases assignments, this poses a big problem for any computer bases $k\bar{a}raka$ system. This becomes more challenging when the position of the head noun cannot be predicted due to relatively free word order within adj-n sequence in Sanskrit.

III. SAMPLE ILLUSTRATION

The following examples illustrate the proposed kāraka processing of Sanskrit sentences by applying on Pāņini and Kātyāyana kāraka formulations and data resources-

Input => makaradhvajena niśīthe prāyaśah kāminah balavaduttāpyante.

Module 1: uttāpyante \rightarrow {([ut] Pre [tap] VR [yak] affix) lat pra bahu}

Module 2: karma vācya

Module 3: makaradhvajena (tri) niśīthe (sap) prāyašaḥ (avy) kāminaḥ (pra) balavad (pra)

Module 4: prāyaśah (avyaya)

Module 5: makaradhvajena (2.3.18) nišīthe (2.3.7) prāyašaķ (avyaya) kāminah (2.3.46)

CONCLUSION

Kāraka analysis is complicated due to the complex nature of sentence structure in which several *kāraka* depends on other constituents of the sentence. It is only possible after integration of other modules like *subanta* analysis, *tinanta* analysis, *samāsa* analysis, *krdanta* analysis, *taddhita* analysis, *avyaya* analysis etc. The results and algorithm presented may need improvements based on the feedback.

REFERENCES

[1]. A. Bharati, Sangal R., 1990, A karaka based approach to parsing of Indian languages, *proc of the 13 th COLING vol 3, pp 30-35*, Finland.

- [2]. Rick Briggs, Knowledge representation in Sanskrit, *AI magazine*, 1985.
- [3]. Sudhir K Mishra, Girish N Jha, Identifying Verb Inflections in Sanskrit Morphology, In *proc. of SIMPLE* 05, IIT Kharagpur, 2005, pp 79-81.
- [4]. Sudhir K Mishra, Panini's Karaka System for Language Processing, Vidyanidhi Prakashan, New Delhi, 2016.
- [5]. Sudhir K Mishra, Astādhyāyīsūtrapātha (Vārtika-Gaņapātha-Dhātupātha-Lingānusāsan-Uņādi-Fitsūtrasahita), Vidyanidhi Prakashan, New Delhi, 2016.
- [6]. Sudhir K Mishra, Computational Formulation and mapping of Pāņini's Kāraka-Vibhakti for Machine Translation, International Journal of Linguistics & Computing Research, Vol. I, Issue. I, June-2017.